MSOTA Summer Minutes July 21, 2017 Billings Pre-Release Center

- I. Meeting was called to order at 10:10
 - a. There was a round of introductions
- II. Reading of Minutes from Annual Meeting
 - a. Minutes were read and accepted with corrections.
- III. Reports from Officers
 - a. President
 - i. Recruiting effort for the Board, still looking for Tribal, and Judicial representation.
 - ii. The Board will inquire if Nells Swandel might be interested in joining the MSOTA Board
 - iii. Bozeman judicial system is another geographic area where we would benefit from a Board member.
 - iv. Shawn proposed Jesse Slaughter from the Great Falls Police Dept. who is a part of the Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force and has expressed interest in our Board.
 - v. It was moved, seconded, and passes to cap the Board at 12 members to maintain better chances for a quorum.
 - b. Triangulation of communications about members was brought up again.
 - i. Members are encouraged to resolve disagreements directly with other members directly affected.
 - c. Vice President: No report
 - d. Secretary
 - e. Treasurer
 - i. The current balance on hand is \$8,664.88
 - ii. Membership dues are up to date
 - iii. Because of collection of CEU fees and paying participants for Annual training our annual meeting cost was only \$340.00
 - IV. Committee Breakouts
 - a. Ethics: No Report
 - b. Education/Outreach Website & Newsletter: No Report
 - c. Legislative
 - i. Brainstorm of topics for anything for the Interim Legislative Committee
 - 1. Social Media issues, SCOTUS decision on Facebook

MSOTA Summer Minutes July 21, 2017 Billings Pre-Release Center

- 2. Hal brought up the SIWOC laws for teachers and therapists
- 3. Create a mechanism to help an individual to reduce tier level who can't afford attorney fees. Requirement for new P-S risk assessment.
- 4. Statutory rape 18 with 14 or 15 year old we attempted to raise the age to 21 and failed.
- 5. To take Tier I registry guys off of public registry and shift to law enforcement registry.
- d. Membership
 - i. Sam Griffel, and Jake Leeper are now clinical members and Mat Eisele is an Associate member
- e. Standards: No Report
- V. Tours of the Pre-Release Center were conducted in two groups prior to lunch
- VI. Lunch: Polygraph In-Service (Guest: Matt Eisele)*see attached outline from Matt's presentation.
- VII. Old Business/Unfinished
 - a. COSA was pitched to AIDS Alliance in Missoula, and their Board turned it down.
 - b. Brenda sent a request to James Cantor to come to MSOTA annual as our training.
 - c. The Cantor based movie, "Pedophile," is available on Amazon Prime
- VIII. New Business
 - a. Dawn: Boot camp is closing
 - b. Expansion of the Parole Board to five full time paid members to enable more frequent hearings
 - i. Dawn and a member of MASC are working on a:
 - 1. Procedure for Sex offender Management,
 - 2. standardized training for chaperones,
 - 3. training criteria for the PO specialists,
 - 4. SO training added to the basic PO academy,
 - 5. request to MSOTA to use the STATIC as a standard,
 - looking at standard ways of monitoring internet usage by parole/probationers
 - ii. Victims services office got a grant to hire additional victims' advocates
 - iii. Stalking Resource Center will be providing a webinar, Dawn will notify Brenda so MSOTA members can participate.

MSOTA Summer Minutes July 21, 2017 Billings Pre-Release Center

- c. New discussions on Social Media following SCOTUS decision.
 - i. Facebook and how current court rulings are changing the landscape of supervising those with sexual offenses.
- d. CPORT and risk tools used by evaluators: tabled
- e. ABEL was thrown out by an Appeals Court in CA, use of the ABEL may have been used by defense to prove that an individual was NOT a pedophile which is a wrong use of the ABEL
- f. Discussion for those doing psychosexual evaluations about recent changes to State laws at the legislative session.
- g. Brenda will explore creating team to help with Annual meeting planning
- h. Scolatti is available to do ½ day training on Child Porn for the Fall meeting and we might promote that as an advertised CEU meeting
- IX. Case Review
 - a. Alice discussed a sentencing of a Level II offender and the use of exceptions under the law and the specificity of the Psycho-Sexual Assessment
 - b. MCMI the criticism of the Millan tests is that it may be over pathologizing.
- X. Anne and Brenda aiming to set up the fall meeting in Missoula DATE and LOCATION TBA

XI. ADJOURN@ 2:45

MSOTA Summer Minutes July 21, 2017 Billings Pre-Release Center *Yellowstone Polygraph LLC Matthew Eisele 304 Grand Ave Billings, MT 59101 406-876-4173 matt@yellowstonepolygraph.com

Polygraph Handout

1. Different types of Post-Conviction Sex Offender Tests

- <u>Instant Offense</u>- To break the offender out of denial of the sexual crime of conviction. Can test criminal intent, sexual motivation, or other states of mind if no conflict regarding the conviction circumstances exist.
- <u>Prior Allegation Exam</u>- Used to investigate and resolve all previously alleged sexual offenses.
- <u>Sexual History Exam</u>- There are two main focuses.
 - 1. Unreported victims: Aspects of the offender's lifetime history to investigate sexual victimization, including behaviors related to victim selection, access, impact, and sexual offenses against unreported victims. (sexual contact with minors, relatives, use of violence, offenses against anyone incapacitated, etc.)
 - 2. Sexual Deviancy: Voyeurism, peeping, exposure, frottage, child porn, sex with animals, etc.
- <u>Monitoring Exam</u>- When there is specific concern the offender has committed a new sexual crime while on supervision/in treatment.
- <u>Maintenance Exam</u>- Exploratory type test to investigate any possible violations of probation/parole and/or treatment.

2. Question Structure and Tips

- <u>Times of Reference-</u>Always give a specific time frame for each question. i.e. since beginning treatment, since your last polygraph, since turning 18, etc.
- <u>Frame of Reference</u>- Be as specific as possible. The more lengthy and wordy questions are likely to confuse examinee's and more than likely diminish reliability. The more vague a question is, the answer will be just as vague.
- <u>Avoid Harsh Words or Legal Terminology</u>- Using words like rape, molest, assault, etc. will likely incite heightened emotional responses by just hearing the words.
- <u>Avoid Fantasies/Intent</u>- Fantasies and intent should only be tested when the physical aspects of what the question is asking has already been cleared up via disclosures or other testing.

3. Test Structure

- <u>Specific Issue</u>- A single topic of contention. Most accurate. Up to 96%
- <u>Exploratory Test</u>- Multiple topics allowed. Ideal test is 3 relevant questions. Can do 4 questions in certain circumstances. Less accurate than specific issue.