1. Brief Introductions:
   1. Attending: **Clinical** Members: Anne Harris, Alice Hougardy, Chris Quigley, Karen Baumann, Shaye LaMunyan, Tracy Vaughn, Chris Nordstrom, Andrew Edgar, Dawn Handa, Hal Lewis, Andy Hudak, Shawn Abbott,

**Board, Associate Members and Guests**: Joel Thompson, Barbara Monaco, Stephanie Robles, Tim Hide, Brittany Smith, Art Gonzales, Sheila Peggs, Thomas Ken Baldy, Heather Gavette, Brendan McQuillan, Shannon Malmin, Susan Bonin, Jessica Shogen, A.J. Cranston, Sean Daley,

1. Reading of minutes:
   1. The minutes of the Fall meeting were read and accepted
2. Officer reports
   1. President
      1. Chris Quigley president presented that it is his intention to keep the importance of MSOTA primary for public safety and the benefit of clients
      2. That MSOTA needs a more concrete way of utilizing board members as representatives of different professional stakeholders in our work
      3. Board members Jamie Rogers, Brendan McQuillan, Annie DeWolfe, and Dawn Handa all contributed to helping get desirable results when the legislature worked on SB 39
      4. Primarily when the legislature considered only requiring 40 hours of clinical training to do sex offender psychosexual evaluations and/or treatment they initially proposed only 40 hours of training. Through the efforts of the people mentioned previously and Andy Hudak we were able to raise the required number of hours for our specialty to 200 and the legislation
      5. The committee working on implementation of SB 39 did not specify or create standards for treatment or psychosexual assessments
      6. following Chris’s opening statements there were lengthy discussions throughout the rest of the day regarding the implementation and consequences of SB 39 and whether or not MSOTA should become a chapter of ATSA
   2. Vice President: Hal Lewis had yet to arrive at the conference so no report
   3. Treasurer
      1. Kevin Wyse has resigned as treasurer
      2. Checking Balance= Approximately $19,000
      3. And and Chris will arrange for new signers on the bank account as at the present time Kevin is very difficult to contact.
      4. A large number of MSOTA members had not paid their dues as of this conference for 2021 at a smaller number for 2020
   4. Secretary
      1. Anne has worked to improve the web site, especially the provider directory that now displays providers by their state regions.
      2. Conference fees for this conference were payable online by not MSOTA members which resulted in approximately $1,000 to deposit to the checking account
      3. Annie will be working to make online payment of dues over the next two months.
3. Committee Meetings/Reports There were no reports from the committees due to poor attendance.
   1. Ethics: Chair, Hal Lewis No complaints pending
   2. Education/Public Affairs: Chair, Brenda Erdelyi: No report
   3. Legislative: Chair, Andy Hudak the Committee needs a new chair because Andy is retiring from the Chair of the committee. He will remain active but will no longer chair. See President’s report for more details and SB39
   4. Membership: Chair, Shaye LaMunyan the committee needs to form and refocus in light of the SB 39 changes.
   5. Standards: Bowman Smelko: no report
4. Old Business
   1. Old business was a very detailed discussion of the implementation of SB 39. Some of the highlights are listed below those who would like to view the entire discussion either via video or audio file are welcome to write Annie and she will send you a copy.
      1. There was a long discussion about whether or not MSOTA should become a chapter of ATSA and the mechanics of how that would work
         1. the centers of that discussion covering different portions of the rest of the day involved:
            1. what the MSOTA dues will be going forward if we join ATSA
            2. how we will incorporate the two organizations in our state
            3. it may give MSOTA a chance to rebrand ourselves, Chris, commented that when attending the County attorneys conference people were asked to raise their hands if they disapproved of MSOTA at the majority of them did, perhaps because they are unhappy with less than complete tier 3 designations for all offenders
            4. MSOTA should consider a survey of all stakeholders in sex offender work
            5. some providers currently members of MSOTA are expressing desires to go on their own without organizational affiliation
            6. the current changes can allow MSOTA to become the premier
            7. provider of education, supervision, and direct training of new providers
      2. Andy, and others were concerned about the lack of specification in SB 39, and the administrative rules that it’s going to be implemented through, that there needs to be a change to include treatment standards and standards for psychosexual evaluations.
         1. A discussion ensued about the best ways to approach this issue and the general discussion leaned in the direction of contacting Sen. Rieger to have him contact the Board Of Behavioral Health Subcommittee that work on implementation of Senate Bill 39.
         2. Many in the discussion warned that it could be a mistake to go directly to the legislature with the issue because it may open up SB 39 two additional changes for multiple aspects of sex offender assessment and treatment
5. New Business
   1. Discussion of ATSA and Montana ATSA Chapter
      1. Andy: Given that I was able to hear from Tanya R who says that the subcommittee's intention was that there would need to be compliance to ATSA standards for both eval and treatment so, it would be easier and less fraught w unknown unintended consequences inherent to the legislative process, that we ask the subcommittee to issue a clarification that clearly states that membership inATSA is needed, as well as that the membership must "be in good standing".(which apparently was omitted as well)
      2. Andy on clarification from BBH/Subcommittee: I think a formal request on behalf of the membership, with Senator Rieger, is the best approach, so I'd say both. (included questions to MSOTA Members who are on the Subcommittee.
   2. Another major discussion focused on the difference between a risk assessment for sex offenders particularly juveniles and a complete psychosexual evaluation.
      1. It was pointed out that judges typically ask for a complete psychosexual as part of their presentence investigation requirements in court cases.
      2. Risk assessments can be very brief and could involve only the use of the Static and Stable or other actuarial tools. But they lack the complete depth of a full psychosexual evaluation that considers the clients from multiple dimensions and depth.
6. Next meeting
   1. time and location Billings
   2. site coordinator Hal Lewis
   3. Program coordinator Hal Lewis
7. Adjournment 4:00